



# wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report

Dec 25, 2024 – 02:39 AM EST

PDB ID : 2RU4  
BMRB ID : 11544  
Title : Designed Armadillo Repeat Protein Self-ASsembled Complex (YIIM2-MAII)  
Authors : Zerbe, O.; Christen, M.T.; Plueckthun, A.; Watson, R.P.  
Deposited on : 2013-11-22

This is a wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at [validation@mail.wwpdb.org](mailto:validation@mail.wwpdb.org)

A user guide is available at

<https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp>

with specific help available everywhere you see the  symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at

<http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types>.

---

The following versions of software and data (see [references](#) ) were used in the production of this report:

MolProbity : 4.02b-467  
Percentile statistics : 20231227.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 27th 2023)  
wwPDB-RCI : v\_1n\_11\_5\_13\_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)  
PANAV : Wang et al. (2010)  
wwPDB-ShiftChecker : v1.2  
BMRB Restraints Analysis : v1.2  
Ideal geometry (proteins) : Engh & Huber (2001)  
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA) : Parkinson et al. (1996)  
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP) : 2.40


# 1 Overall quality at a glance

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:

*SOLUTION NMR*

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 87%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive (#Entries)	NMR archive (#Entries)
Clashscore	210492	14027
Ramachandran outliers	207382	12486
Sidechain outliers	206894	12463

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for  $\geq 3$ , 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions  $\leq 5\%$ .

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain
1	A	115	
2	B	84	

## 2 Ensemble composition and analysis

This entry contains 20 models. Model 5 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *closest to the average*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues			
Well-defined core	Residue range (total)	Backbone RMSD (Å)	Medoid model
1	A:44-A:115, B:116-B:197 (154)	0.93	5

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 3 clusters and 2 single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	Models
1	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 12, 14, 15, 17, 19
2	7, 9, 10, 11, 20
3	8, 18
Single-model clusters	13; 16

### 3 Entry composition [i](#)

There are 2 unique types of molecules in this entry. The entry contains 2984 atoms, of which 1490 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

- Molecule 1 is a protein called Armadillo Repeat Protein, N-terminal fragment, YIIM2.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms						Trace
			Total	C	H	N	O	S	
1	A	115	1706	531	851	144	178	2	0

- Molecule 2 is a protein called Armadillo Repeat Protein, C-terminal fragment, MAII.


Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms					Trace
			Total	C	H	N	O	
2	B	84	1278	394	639	112	133	0

## 4 Residue-property plots [i](#)


### 4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

- Molecule 1: Armadillo Repeat Protein, N-terminal fragment, YIIM2




- Molecule 2: Armadillo Repeat Protein, C-terminal fragment, MAII



### 4.2 Residue scores for the representative (medoid) model from the NMR ensemble

The representative model is number 5. Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

- Molecule 1: Armadillo Repeat Protein, N-terminal fragment, YIIM2



- Molecule 2: Armadillo Repeat Protein, C-terminal fragment, MAII





## 5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview

The models were refined using the following method: *torsion angle dynamics, simulated annealing*.

Of the 100 calculated structures, 20 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *structures with the lowest energy*.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
UNIO	structure solution	2.0.2
CYANA	geometry optimization	3.96a
CYANA	structure solution	3.96a
X-PLOR NIH	refinement	2.32
CYANA	refinement	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	2
Total number of shifts	1920
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	1920
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	87%

## 6 Model quality [i](#)

### 6.1 Standard geometry [i](#)

The Z score for a bond length (or angle) is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond length (or angle) with  $|Z| > 5$  is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the (average) root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond lengths (or angles).

Mol	Chain	Bond lengths		Bond angles	
		RMSZ	#Z>5	RMSZ	#Z>5
1	A	0.79±0.03	0±0/533 ( 0.0± 0.0%)	0.69±0.03	0±0/731 ( 0.1± 0.1%)
2	B	0.79±0.03	0±0/628 ( 0.0± 0.0%)	0.70±0.02	0±0/848 ( 0.0± 0.0%)
All	All	0.79	1/23220 ( 0.0%)	0.70	9/31580 ( 0.0%)

All unique bond outliers are listed below.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Atoms	Z	Observed(Å)	Ideal(Å)	Models	
								Worst	Total
1	A	98	ASN	N-CA	-5.35	1.35	1.46	19	1

All unique angle outliers are listed below.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Atoms	Z	Observed(°)	Ideal(°)	Models	
								Worst	Total
1	A	88	PRO	N-CA-CB	-6.49	95.46	102.60	10	9

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

### 6.2 Too-close contacts [i](#)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	526	535	535	10±3
2	B	623	626	624	8±3
All	All	22980	23220	23180	342

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including

hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 7.

5 of 133 unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
2:B:142:GLN:HE21	2:B:142:GLN:HA	0.69	1.46	15	3
2:B:170:ALA:O	2:B:174:LEU:HG	0.62	1.95	15	20
2:B:142:GLN:HE21	2:B:142:GLN:CA	0.60	2.08	1	3
1:A:100:GLN:O	1:A:103:GLN:HG2	0.60	1.96	14	1
1:A:87:LEU:HB2	1:A:88:PRO:CD	0.59	2.27	10	8

## 6.3 Torsion angles [i](#)

### 6.3.1 Protein backbone [i](#)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	71/115 (62%)	67±1 (95±1%)	3±1 (5±2%)	0±0 (0±1%)	38	78
2	B	81/84 (96%)	76±2 (94±2%)	4±1 (5±2%)	1±1 (2±1%)	10	54
All	All	3040/3980 (76%)	2867 (94%)	142 (5%)	31 (1%)	16	65

5 of 11 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
2	B	181	GLU	6
2	B	157	GLY	5
2	B	118	GLU	5
2	B	127	GLY	4
2	B	117	ASN	4

### 6.3.2 Protein sidechains [i](#)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles
1	A	56/93 (60%)	52±1 (94±2%)	4±1 (6±2%)	17 69
2	B	65/67 (97%)	58±2 (89±3%)	7±2 (11±3%)	7 51
All	All	2420/3200 (76%)	2204 (91%)	216 (9%)	10 58

5 of 48 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
2	B	134	GLN	20
2	B	171	LEU	19
2	B	173	LYS	18
1	A	88	PRO	13
2	B	136	LEU	13

### 6.3.3 RNA [i](#)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

## 6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains [i](#)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

## 6.5 Carbohydrates [i](#)

There are no oligosaccharides in this entry.

## 6.6 Ligand geometry [i](#)

There are no ligands in this entry.

## 6.7 Other polymers [i](#)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

## 6.8 Polymer linkage issues [i](#)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.

## 7 Chemical shift validation [i](#)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 87% for the well-defined parts and 74% for the entire structure.

### 7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working\_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: *assigned\_chem\_shift\_list\_1*

#### 7.1.1 Bookkeeping [i](#)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	971
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	971
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	0

#### 7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing [i](#)

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	Correction $\pm$ precision, ppm	Suggested action
$^{13}\text{C}_\alpha$	84	$-0.55 \pm 0.09$	Should be checked
$^{13}\text{C}_\beta$	79	$0.28 \pm 0.13$	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
$^{13}\text{C}'$	76	$-0.33 \pm 0.14$	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
$^{15}\text{N}$	81	$1.11 \pm 0.33$	Should be applied

#### 7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments [i](#)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 41%, i.e. 829 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 2028. 0 out of 32 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^1\text{H}$	$^{13}\text{C}$	$^{15}\text{N}$
Backbone	347/767 (45%)	143/311 (46%)	137/308 (44%)	67/148 (45%)
Sidechain	478/1217 (39%)	330/797 (41%)	136/384 (35%)	12/36 (33%)

*Continued on next page...*

Continued from previous page...

	Total	<sup>1</sup> H	<sup>13</sup> C	<sup>15</sup> N
Aromatic	4/44 (9%)	2/22 (9%)	0/17 (0%)	2/5 (40%)
Overall	829/2028 (41%)	475/1130 (42%)	273/709 (39%)	81/189 (43%)


### 7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts [i](#)

There are no statistically unusual chemical shifts.

### 7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots [i](#)

The image below reports *random coil index* values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition. If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:



## 7.2 Chemical shift list 2

File name: working\_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: *assigned\_chem\_shift\_list\_2*

### 7.2.1 Bookkeeping [i](#)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	949
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	949
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	3

### 7.2.2 Chemical shift referencing [i](#)

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	Correction $\pm$ precision, ppm	Suggested action
$^{13}\text{C}_\alpha$	79	$-0.63 \pm 0.23$	Should be checked
$^{13}\text{C}_\beta$	75	$0.25 \pm 0.11$	None needed ( $< 0.5$ ppm)
$^{13}\text{C}'$	60	$-0.34 \pm 0.14$	None needed ( $< 0.5$ ppm)
$^{15}\text{N}$	76	$1.18 \pm 0.37$	Should be applied

### 7.2.3 Completeness of resonance assignments [i](#)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 46%, i.e. 937 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 2028. 0 out of 32 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^1\text{H}$	$^{13}\text{C}$	$^{15}\text{N}$
Backbone	368/767 (48%)	156/311 (50%)	137/308 (44%)	75/148 (51%)
Sidechain	567/1217 (47%)	388/797 (49%)	168/384 (44%)	11/36 (31%)
Aromatic	2/44 (5%)	1/22 (5%)	0/17 (0%)	1/5 (20%)
Overall	937/2028 (46%)	545/1130 (48%)	305/709 (43%)	87/189 (46%)

### 7.2.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts [i](#)


The following table lists the statistically unusual chemical shifts. These are statistical measures, and large deviations from the mean do not necessarily imply incorrect assignments. Molecules containing paramagnetic centres or hemes are expected to give rise to anomalous chemical shifts.

List Id	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift, ppm	Expected range, ppm	Z-score
2	B	184	LYS	HD2	2.95	0.58 – 2.64	6.5
2	B	184	LYS	HD3	2.95	0.54 – 2.65	6.4
2	B	182	ASN	H	11.49	5.28 – 11.36	5.2

### 7.2.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots [i](#)

The image below reports *random coil index* values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition. If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain B:



## 8 NMR restraints analysis

### 8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	1958
Intra-residue ( $ i-j =0$ )	531
Sequential ( $ i-j =1$ )	525
Medium range ( $ i-j >1$ and $ i-j <5$ )	498
Long range ( $ i-j \geq 5$ )	327
Inter-chain	77
Hydrogen bond restraints	0
Disulfide bond restraints	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	279
Number of unmapped restraints	0
Number of restraints per residue	11.2
Number of long range restraints per residue <sup>1</sup>	1.6

<sup>1</sup>Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

### 8.2 Residual restraint violations

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

#### 8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the calculation.

Bins (Å)	Average number of violations per model	Max (Å)
0.1-0.2 (Small)	30.4	0.2
0.2-0.5 (Medium)	6.4	0.47
>0.5 (Large)	None	None

### 8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model [i](#)

Dihedral-angle violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

Bins (°)	Average number of violations per model	Max (°)
1.0-10.0 (Small)	5.8	3.62
10.0-20.0 (Medium)	None	None
>20.0 (Large)	None	None

## 9 Distance violation analysis [i](#)


### 9.1 Summary of distance violations [i](#)

The following table shows the summary of distance violations in different restraint categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved. Each category is further sub-divided into three sub-categories based on the atoms involved. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Restrains type	Count	% <sup>1</sup>	Violated <sup>3</sup>			Consistently Violated <sup>4</sup>		
			Count	% <sup>2</sup>	% <sup>1</sup>	Count	% <sup>2</sup>	% <sup>1</sup>
<b>Intra-residue (<math> i-j =0</math>)</b>	<b>531</b>	<b>27.1</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>8.3</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>0.3</b>
Backbone-Backbone	2	0.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	415	21.2	38	9.2	1.9	4	1.0	0.2
Sidechain-Sidechain	114	5.8	6	5.3	0.3	2	1.8	0.1
<b>Sequential (<math> i-j =1</math>)</b>	<b>525</b>	<b>26.8</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>8.6</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.4</b>	<b>0.1</b>
Backbone-Backbone	137	7.0	2	1.5	0.1	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	330	16.9	38	11.5	1.9	2	0.6	0.1
Sidechain-Sidechain	58	3.0	5	8.6	0.3	0	0.0	0.0
<b>Medium range (<math> i-j &gt;1</math> &amp; <math> i-j &lt;5</math>)</b>	<b>498</b>	<b>25.4</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.2</b>	<b>0.1</b>
Backbone-Backbone	184	9.4	7	3.8	0.4	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	206	10.5	19	9.2	1.0	1	0.5	0.1
Sidechain-Sidechain	108	5.5	9	8.3	0.5	0	0.0	0.0
<b>Long range (<math> i-j \geq 5</math>)</b>	<b>327</b>	<b>16.7</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>8.3</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
Backbone-Backbone	3	0.2	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	169	8.6	17	10.1	0.9	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	155	7.9	10	6.5	0.5	0	0.0	0.0
<b>Inter-chain</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5.2</b>	<b>0.2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
Backbone-Backbone	1	0.1	1	100.0	0.1	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	34	1.7	2	5.9	0.1	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	42	2.1	1	2.4	0.1	0	0.0	0.0
Hydrogen bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Disulfide bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
<b>Total</b>	<b>1958</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>155</b>	<b>7.9</b>	<b>7.9</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0.5</b>	<b>0.5</b>
Backbone-Backbone	327	16.7	10	3.1	0.5	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	1154	58.9	114	9.9	5.8	7	0.6	0.4
Sidechain-Sidechain	477	24.4	31	6.5	1.6	2	0.4	0.1

<sup>1</sup> percentage calculated with respect to the total number of distance restraints, <sup>2</sup> percentage calculated with respect to the number of restraints in a particular restraint category, <sup>3</sup> violated in at least one model, <sup>4</sup> violated in all the models

### 9.1.1 Bar chart : Distribution of distance restraints and violations [i](#)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories. The hydrogen bonds and disulfid bonds are counted in their appropriate category on the x-axis

## 9.2 Distance violation statistics for each model [i](#)

The following table provides the distance violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Model ID	Number of violations						Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	SD <sup>6</sup> (Å)	Median (Å)
	IR <sup>1</sup>	SQ <sup>2</sup>	MR <sup>3</sup>	LR <sup>4</sup>	IC <sup>5</sup>	Total				
1	19	12	6	2	0	39	0.17	0.41	0.07	0.15
2	14	17	9	5	1	46	0.17	0.39	0.06	0.16
3	18	13	7	6	0	44	0.17	0.4	0.07	0.15
4	15	15	10	2	0	42	0.16	0.4	0.07	0.15
5	18	12	6	1	1	38	0.16	0.44	0.07	0.14
6	15	14	5	4	0	38	0.16	0.4	0.06	0.14
7	16	12	7	2	0	37	0.16	0.47	0.07	0.15
8	15	16	12	2	0	45	0.15	0.39	0.05	0.13
9	14	11	7	6	0	38	0.16	0.38	0.06	0.14
10	18	13	8	5	2	46	0.16	0.39	0.07	0.13


*Continued on next page...*

Continued from previous page...

Model ID	Number of violations					Total	Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	SD <sup>6</sup> (Å)	Median (Å)
	IR <sup>1</sup>	SQ <sup>2</sup>	MR <sup>3</sup>	LR <sup>4</sup>	IC <sup>5</sup>					
11	13	14	8	4	1	40	0.16	0.38	0.06	0.14
12	17	14	7	2	0	40	0.15	0.38	0.06	0.14
13	16	10	6	3	1	36	0.17	0.44	0.07	0.15
14	16	10	5	8	0	39	0.17	0.42	0.07	0.15
15	18	11	6	7	1	43	0.16	0.39	0.07	0.14
16	14	12	6	3	2	37	0.16	0.41	0.06	0.15
17	18	16	7	0	0	41	0.16	0.43	0.06	0.14
18	16	10	7	2	3	38	0.17	0.41	0.07	0.15
19	10	13	9	5	0	37	0.16	0.43	0.07	0.14
20	13	14	8	2	0	37	0.15	0.38	0.06	0.13

<sup>1</sup>Intra-residue restraints, <sup>2</sup>Sequential restraints, <sup>3</sup>Medium range restraints, <sup>4</sup>Long range restraints, <sup>5</sup>Inter-chain restraints, <sup>6</sup>Standard deviation

### 9.2.1 Bar graph : Distance Violation statistics for each model [\(i\)](#)



The mean(dot),median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right


### 9.3 Distance violation statistics for the ensemble

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of the ensemble. In total, 1803(IR:487, SQ:480, MR:463, LR:300, IC:73) restraints are not violated in the ensemble.

Number of violated restraints						Fraction of the ensemble	
IR <sup>1</sup>	SQ <sup>2</sup>	MR <sup>3</sup>	LR <sup>4</sup>	IC <sup>5</sup>	Total	Count <sup>6</sup>	%
13	15	14	11	1	54	1	5.0
8	10	6	9	1	34	2	10.0
3	4	4	4	0	15	3	15.0
3	0	2	1	1	7	4	20.0
1	0	0	0	1	2	5	25.0
1	1	1	0	0	3	6	30.0
1	3	2	0	0	6	7	35.0
0	1	2	1	0	4	8	40.0
0	2	0	0	0	2	9	45.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	10	50.0
0	1	0	0	0	1	11	55.0
2	0	1	0	0	3	12	60.0
0	0	1	0	0	1	13	65.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	14	70.0
2	1	0	0	0	3	15	75.0
1	0	0	0	0	1	16	80.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	17	85.0
2	2	0	1	0	5	18	90.0
1	3	1	0	0	5	19	95.0
6	2	1	0	0	9	20	100.0

<sup>1</sup>Intra-residue restraints, <sup>2</sup>Sequential restraints, <sup>3</sup>Medium range restraints, <sup>4</sup>Long range restraints, <sup>5</sup>Inter-chain restraints, <sup>6</sup> Number of models with violations


### 9.3.1 Bar graph : Distance violation statistics for the ensemble [i](#)



## 9.4 Most violated distance restraints in the ensemble [i](#)

### 9.4.1 Histogram : Distribution of mean distance violations [i](#)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble



#### 9.4.2 Table: Most violated distance restraints [i](#)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.


Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Models <sup>1</sup>	Mean (Å)	SD <sup>1</sup> (Å)	Median (Å)
(1,687)	1:98:A:ASN:H	1:98:A:ASN:HD22	20	0.41	0.02	0.4
(1,788)	1:103:A:GLN:HB3	1:103:A:GLN:HG3	20	0.32	0.08	0.35
(1,528)	1:86:A:ALA:H	1:87:A:LEU:HB3	20	0.23	0.05	0.22
(1,1726)	2:178:B:GLN:HA	2:178:B:GLN:HE22	20	0.21	0.03	0.21
(1,1837)	2:185:B:ILE:HG21	2:189:B:ALA:HA	20	0.2	0.03	0.2
(1,1837)	2:185:B:ILE:HG22	2:189:B:ALA:HA	20	0.2	0.03	0.2
(1,1837)	2:185:B:ILE:HG23	2:189:B:ALA:HA	20	0.2	0.03	0.2
(1,1683)	2:176:B:GLN:HG2	2:177:B:LEU:HA	20	0.19	0.02	0.19
(1,638)	1:93:A:LEU:H	1:93:A:LEU:HB3	20	0.16	0.02	0.17
(1,823)	1:104:A:GLU:H	1:104:A:GLU:HB3	20	0.15	0.02	0.15
(1,1701)	2:177:B:LEU:HB3	2:177:B:LEU:HD11	20	0.12	0.01	0.12
(1,1701)	2:177:B:LEU:HB3	2:177:B:LEU:HD12	20	0.12	0.01	0.12
(1,1701)	2:177:B:LEU:HB3	2:177:B:LEU:HD13	20	0.12	0.01	0.12
(1,1310)	2:142:B:GLN:HE22	2:143:B:ILE:H	19	0.2	0.05	0.22

<sup>1</sup>Number of violated models, <sup>2</sup>Standard deviation

## 9.5 All violated distance restraints [i](#)

### 9.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of distance violations [i](#)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



### 9.5.2 Table : All distance violations [i](#)

The following table provides the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,687)	1:98:A:ASN:H	1:98:A:ASN:HD22	7	0.47
(1,687)	1:98:A:ASN:H	1:98:A:ASN:HD22	5	0.44
(1,687)	1:98:A:ASN:H	1:98:A:ASN:HD22	13	0.44
(1,687)	1:98:A:ASN:H	1:98:A:ASN:HD22	17	0.43
(1,687)	1:98:A:ASN:H	1:98:A:ASN:HD22	19	0.43
(1,687)	1:98:A:ASN:H	1:98:A:ASN:HD22	14	0.42
(1,687)	1:98:A:ASN:H	1:98:A:ASN:HD22	1	0.41
(1,687)	1:98:A:ASN:H	1:98:A:ASN:HD22	16	0.41
(1,687)	1:98:A:ASN:H	1:98:A:ASN:HD22	18	0.41
(1,687)	1:98:A:ASN:H	1:98:A:ASN:HD22	3	0.4

## 10 Dihedral-angle violation analysis [i](#)


### 10.1 Summary of dihedral-angle violations [i](#)

The following table provides the summary of dihedral-angle violations in different dihedral-angle types. Violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

Angle type	Count	% <sup>1</sup>	Violated <sup>3</sup>			Consistently Violated <sup>4</sup>		
			Count	% <sup>2</sup>	% <sup>1</sup>	Count	% <sup>2</sup>	% <sup>1</sup>
PSI	141	50.5	20	14.2	7.2	0	0.0	0.0
PHI	138	49.5	23	16.7	8.2	0	0.0	0.0
Total	279	100.0	43	15.4	15.4	0	0.0	0.0

<sup>1</sup> percentage calculated with respect to total number of dihedral-angle restraints, <sup>2</sup> percentage calculated with respect to number of restraints in a particular dihedral-angle type, <sup>3</sup> violated in at least one model, <sup>4</sup> violated in all the models

#### 10.1.1 Bar chart : Distribution of dihedral-angles and violations [i](#)




Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories

## 10.2 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model [i](#)

The following table provides the dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 1° are not included in the statistics.

Model ID	Number of violations			Mean (°)	Max (°)	SD (°)	Median (°)
	PSI	PHI	Total				
1	3	2	5	2.04	2.75	0.52	2.22
2	3	4	7	1.88	2.37	0.38	1.92
3	1	3	4	1.89	2.23	0.49	2.14
4	1	3	4	1.89	2.39	0.45	1.97
5	5	2	7	1.49	2.35	0.5	1.3
6	4	3	7	1.86	2.71	0.57	1.65
7	1	1	2	1.38	1.51	0.14	1.38
8	4	6	10	2.02	3.62	0.77	2.0
9	2	5	7	1.52	2.68	0.5	1.32
10	1	3	4	1.57	2.12	0.47	1.58
11	3	2	5	2.37	2.93	0.55	2.52
12	4	2	6	2.07	3.22	0.9	1.89
13	5	3	8	1.43	3.06	0.64	1.13
14	2	5	7	1.94	2.87	0.56	2.05
15	2	4	6	1.67	2.51	0.53	1.52
16	3	1	4	1.65	2.89	0.72	1.32
17	4	2	6	1.48	2.22	0.41	1.38
18	2	4	6	2.13	3.15	0.8	2.02
19	4	5	9	1.59	2.36	0.48	1.31
20	2	1	3	2.09	2.76	0.54	2.08

### 10.2.1 Bar graph : Dihedral violation statistics for each model [i](#)



The mean(dot),median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right

### 10.3 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for the ensemble [i](#)

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in very few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of ensemble.

Number of violated restraints			Fraction of the ensemble	
PSI	PHI	Total	Count <sup>1</sup>	%
8	11	19	1	5.0
5	5	10	2	10.0
3	3	6	3	15.0
1	1	2	4	20.0
1	1	2	5	25.0
1	0	1	6	30.0
0	1	1	7	35.0
0	0	0	8	40.0
0	0	0	9	45.0
0	0	0	10	50.0
0	0	0	11	55.0


*Continued on next page...*

Continued from previous page...

Number of violated restraints			Fraction of the ensemble	
PSI	PHI	Total	Count <sup>1</sup>	%
0	0	0	12	60.0
0	0	0	13	65.0
1	0	1	14	70.0
0	1	1	15	75.0
0	0	0	16	80.0
0	0	0	17	85.0
0	0	0	18	90.0
0	0	0	19	95.0
0	0	0	20	100.0

<sup>1</sup> Number of models with violations

### 10.3.1 Bar graph : Dihedral-angle Violation statistics for the ensemble [i](#)




## 10.4 Most violated dihedral-angle restraints in the ensemble [i](#)

### 10.4.1 Histogram : Distribution of mean dihedral-angle violations [i](#)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models

in the ensemble



#### 10.4.2 Table: Most violated dihedral-angle restraints [i](#)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.


Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	Models <sup>1</sup>	Mean	SD <sup>2</sup>	Median
(1,90)	1:85:A:GLY:C	1:86:A:ALA:N	1:86:A:ALA:CA	1:86:A:ALA:C	15	2.2	0.51	2.17
(1,173)	2:135:B:LEU:N	2:135:B:LEU:CA	2:135:B:LEU:C	2:136:B:LEU:N	14	2.38	0.63	2.43
(1,250)	2:178:B:GLN:C	2:179:B:SER:N	2:179:B:SER:CA	2:179:B:SER:C	7	1.66	0.57	1.39
(1,47)	1:60:A:LEU:N	1:60:A:LEU:CA	1:60:A:LEU:C	1:61:A:GLN:N	6	1.63	0.47	1.54
(1,3)	1:33:A:ASN:C	1:34:A:GLU:N	1:34:A:GLU:CA	1:34:A:GLU:C	5	1.93	0.45	2.21
(1,279)	2:196:B:LEU:N	2:196:B:LEU:CA	2:196:B:LEU:C	2:197:B:GLN:N	5	1.52	0.42	1.26
(1,21)	1:46:A:PRO:N	1:46:A:PRO:CA	1:46:A:PRO:C	1:47:A:ALA:N	4	1.28	0.31	1.14
(1,22)	1:46:A:PRO:C	1:47:A:ALA:N	1:47:A:ALA:CA	1:47:A:ALA:C	4	1.22	0.2	1.18
(1,185)	2:142:B:GLN:N	2:142:B:GLN:CA	2:142:B:GLN:C	2:143:B:ILE:N	3	2.24	0.54	2.52
(1,143)	2:118:B:GLU:C	2:119:B:GLN:N	2:119:B:GLN:CA	2:119:B:GLN:C	3	2.18	0.46	2.14

<sup>1</sup> Number of violated models, <sup>2</sup>Standard deviation, All angle values are in degree (°)

## 10.5 All violated dihedral-angle restraints [i](#)

### 10.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of violations [i](#)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



### 10.5.2 Table: All violated dihedral-angle restraints [i](#)

The following table provides the list of violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	Model ID	Violation (°)
(1,18)	1:44:A:ALA:N	1:44:A:ALA:CA	1:44:A:ALA:C	1:45:A:LEU:N	8	3.62
(1,173)	2:135:B:LEU:N	2:135:B:LEU:CA	2:135:B:LEU:C	2:136:B:LEU:N	12	3.22
(1,14)	1:39:A:VAL:N	1:39:A:VAL:CA	1:39:A:VAL:C	1:40:A:ILE:N	12	3.2
(1,173)	2:135:B:LEU:N	2:135:B:LEU:CA	2:135:B:LEU:C	2:136:B:LEU:N	18	3.15
(1,90)	1:85:A:GLY:C	1:86:A:ALA:N	1:86:A:ALA:CA	1:86:A:ALA:C	18	3.1
(1,173)	2:135:B:LEU:N	2:135:B:LEU:CA	2:135:B:LEU:C	2:136:B:LEU:N	13	3.06
(1,90)	1:85:A:GLY:C	1:86:A:ALA:N	1:86:A:ALA:CA	1:86:A:ALA:C	11	2.93
(1,173)	2:135:B:LEU:N	2:135:B:LEU:CA	2:135:B:LEU:C	2:136:B:LEU:N	16	2.89
(1,90)	1:85:A:GLY:C	1:86:A:ALA:N	1:86:A:ALA:CA	1:86:A:ALA:C	14	2.87
(1,173)	2:135:B:LEU:N	2:135:B:LEU:CA	2:135:B:LEU:C	2:136:B:LEU:N	20	2.76